Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/502,060	SENSEN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Mark Eashoo, Ph.D.	1732
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Mark Eashoo, Ph.D.</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Suzin Bailey</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>17 November 2006</u>	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No		
If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: those of record		
Claims discussed:		
those pending		•
Prior art documents discussed:  That cited.		·
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:		
Claim 30 depended from canceled claim 29. Approval for an Exr. Amdt. to change the dependency of claim 30 to claim 28 was given by Ms. Bailey.		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.</li> </ul>		
		•
MPA		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		
	•	